

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Banco BPM at 'BBB-'; Outlook Stable

Wed 05 Apr, 2023 - 12:47 ET

Fitch Ratings - Milan - 05 Apr 2023: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Banco BPM S.p.A.'s (BBPM) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-' with a Stable Outlook and Viability Rating (VR) at 'bbb-'. A full list of rating actions is below.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Third-Largest Domestic Bank: BBPM's ratings reflect its second-tier domestic franchise, with a stronger presence in wealthy northern Italy, good business diversification by product and gradual improvement in core profitability and asset quality.

Adequate Business Diversification, Clear Strategy: BBPM's ratings reflect its stable business model, which is skewed towards commercial banking but fairly diversified into wealth management and insurance businesses. The strategy is clear and consistent with BBPM's business model, although it may be prone to shifts if value-accretive opportunities of inorganic growth materialise.

Disciplined Risk Approach: BBPM's risk appetite is commensurate with its business model, reflecting medium-term performance expectations. The risk control framework is aligned with market standards and is applied across the group with sound risk governance. Our risk profile assessment considers an average market risk appetite for a commercial bank, although exposure to Italian sovereign bonds is fairly high at 1.3x common equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital at end-2022, which we expect to remain largely stable over the next three years.

Above-Average Impaired Loans: Continued progress in impaired loan reduction in 2022 led to an early delivery of the 2024 impaired loan ratio target of 4.8%. Despite this achievement, which benefited from the benign credit environment over the past two years and effective risk controls, the bank's 4.5% impaired loans ratio at end-2022 remains above its domestic and similarly rated international peers. We expect the bank's impaired loans to remain reasonably stable over the next two years.

Rising Rates Benefit Profitability: BBPM's operating profitability has improved over the past three years reflecting good revenue diversification into fee-intensive activities, improved cost efficiency and lower loan impairment charges (LICs).

In 2023, we expect rising interest rates coupled with moderate deposit pass-through to support operating revenue. This should mitigate the expected increase in LICs due to the economic slowdown, while operating costs should remain under control despite inflation. We expect the bank to generate operating profit above 1.5% of risk-weighted assets (RWAs).

Adequate Capital Buffers: We expect BBPM to operate with a CET1 ratio around 14% in the next two years, which is above the guidance provided by its largest domestic peers, but broadly in line with European averages. To some extent this mitigates downside risks from the capital encumbrance by unreserved impaired loans, which we estimate to remain above 20%, a higher level than at similarly rated peers. We also expect the bank to maintain adequate capital buffers above regulatory requirements, thanks to improving internal capital generation as earnings continue to recover.

Stable and Diversified Funding Profile: BBPM's liabilities structure benefits from stable and granular retail deposits. Total deposits represent close to two-thirds of the bank's total funding, which is lower than domestic peers. BBPM's established capital markets access across its whole liability structure benefits the bank's fairly diversified funding profile. BBPM has sound liquidity metrics.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

BBPM's ratings are sensitive to a significant weakening of the operating environment in Italy, due for example, to much slower economic growth than our forecasts, and persistently high inflation, which could result in higher default rates and lead to deterioration of the bank's asset quality and capital metrics.

The ratings would likely be downgraded if the impaired loans ratio increases above 6% and operating profit falls below 1% of RWAs without the prospect of recovery in the

short term. This is especially if the CET1 ratio falls below 14% and capital encumbrance by unreserved impaired loans rises on a sustained basis to levels materially above industry average.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

There is limited rating upside, unless the bank's risk profile and financial metrics improve materially. In particular, it would require the impaired loans ratio to remain consistently and materially below 4%, capital encumbrance by unreserved impaired loans to improve to levels materially below 20% and operating profit to rise above 2% of RWAs without more risk-taking, while maintaining the CET1 ratio at around 14%.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

DEPOSIT RATINGS

BBPM's long-term deposit rating is one notch above its Long-Term IDR reflecting full depositor preference in Italy and our belief that the bank has sufficient combined buffers of junior and senior debt, resulting in a lower probability of default on deposits relative to its Long-Term IDR. The one-notch uplift also reflects our expectation that the bank will maintain sufficient buffers to comply with minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL).

The short-term deposit rating of 'F3' is the baseline option for a 'BBB' long-term deposit rating, because the funding and liquidity score is not high enough to achieve a higher equivalent short-term rating.

SENIOR PREFERRED AND SENIOR NON-PREFERRED DEBT

BBPM's senior preferred (SP) debt is rated in line with the bank's Long-Term IDR because we expect them to use SP debt to meet its MREL.

For the same reason, senior non-preferred (SNP) notes are rated one notch below the bank's Long-Term IDR. This is to reflect the risk of below average recoveries arising from the use of senior-preferred debt to meet resolution buffer requirements, and the combined buffer of additional Tier 1, Tier 2 and SNP debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of RWAs.

No Support

BBPM's Government Support Rating (GSR) of 'no support' (ns) reflects Fitch's view that although external extraordinary sovereign support is possible, it cannot be relied on.

Senior creditors can no longer expect to receive full extraordinary support from the sovereign in the event that the bank becomes nonviable. This is because the EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive and the Single Resolution Mechanism for eurozone banks provide a framework for resolving banks that requires senior creditors participating in losses, if necessary, instead of or ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

BBPM's long- and short-term senior debt and deposit ratings would be downgraded if the Long-Term IDR was downgraded.

The deposit ratings could be downgraded by one notch and be aligned with the IDRs in the event of a reduction in the size of the senior and junior debt buffers that would result in lower protection for deposits, so that these would no longer have a lower probability of default relative to the IDRs. We view this as unlikely in light of current and future MREL requirements.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

BBPM's senior debt and deposit ratings would be upgraded if the Long-Term IDR was upgraded.

BBPM's senior debt ratings could also be upgraded if the bank was expected to meet the resolution buffer requirements of the consolidated entity exclusively with SNP and more junior instruments, or if we expect resolution buffers represented by SNP and more junior instruments to be at least 10% of RWAs on a sustained basis, neither of which is currently the case nor do we expect in the future.

An upgrade of the GSR would be contingent on a positive change in the sovereign's propensity to support the bank. In Fitch's view, this is highly unlikely, although not impossible.

SUBSIDIARIES & AFFILIATES: KEY RATING DRIVERS

Banca Akros S.p.A.'s (Akros) IDRs are equalised with those of its parent. The IDRs are driven by potential support from BBPM, as reflected in its Shareholder Support Rating (SSR) of 'bbb-', underlining full ownership, integration with its parent and its role in the group as the specialised arm offering investment banking products to BBPM's customer

base. Fitch does not deem it appropriate to assign a VR to Akros because its high integration with the parent results in a non-meaningful standalone franchise.

Akros' Derivative Counterparty Rating (DCR) is at the same level as the Long-Term IDR because in Italy derivative counterparties have no preferential legal status over other senior obligations in a resolution.

SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES: RATING SENSITIVITIES

Akros's IDRs, DCR and SSR are primarily sensitive to changes in BBPM's IDRs. In addition, the ratings could be downgraded if the subsidiary's strategic importance to BBPM reduced, which we currently do not expect.

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

Akros's ratings are driven by shareholder support from BBPM.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

RATING ACTIONS

| ENTITY / DEBT ⚡ | RATING ⚡ | | | | PRIOR ⚡ |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| Banco BPM S.p.A. | LT IDR | BBB- Rating Outlook Stable | | | BBB- Rating Outlook Stable |
| | Affirmed | | | | |
| | ST IDR | F3 | Affirmed | | F3 |
| | Viability | bbb- | Affirmed | | bbb- |
| | Government Support | | ns | Affirmed | ns |
| long-term deposits | LT | BBB | Affirmed | | BBB |
| Senior preferred | LT | BBB- | Affirmed | | BBB- |
| Senior non-preferred | LT | BB+ | Affirmed | | BB+ |
| short-term deposits | ST | F3 | Affirmed | | F3 |
| Banca Akros S.p.A. | LT IDR | BBB- Rating Outlook Stable | | | BBB- Rating Outlook Stable |
| | Affirmed | | | | |
| | ST IDR | F3 | Affirmed | | F3 |

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Gianluca Romeo
Director

Primary Rating Analyst
+39 02 9475 6214
gianluca.romeo@fitchratings.com
Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Sede Secondaria Italiana
Via Morigi, 6 Ingresso Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8 Milan 20123

Francesca Vasciminno

Senior Director
Secondary Rating Analyst
+39 02 9475 7057
francesca.vasciminno@fitchratings.com

Manuela Banfi

Associate Director
Secondary Rating Analyst
+39 02 9475 6226
manuela.banfi@fitchratings.com

Rafael Quina

Senior Director
Committee Chairperson
+33 1 44 29 91 81
rafael.quina@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Peter Fitzpatrick

London
+44 20 3530 1103
peter.fitzpatrick@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 07 Sep 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Banca Akros S.p.A.

EU Issued, UK Endorsed

Banco BPM S.p.A.

EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers.

Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and

its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for

rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch’s international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch’s approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch’s [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch’s website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

| | | |
|-------|--------|-------|
| Banks | Europe | Italy |
|-------|--------|-------|
